

Anti-bacterial activity of root bark of Nyctanthes arbor-tristis Linn.

Nihal Singh Verma^{1*}, Sumeet Dwivedi², Debadash Panigrahi² and S.K. Gupta³

1, Mittal Institute of Pharmacy, Bhopal, M.P., India 2, Ujjain Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Ujjain, M.P., India 3, Suresh Gyan Vihar University, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

Abstract

Nyctanthes arbor-tristis Linn. (Oleaceae) is a well known medicinal plant in traditional system of medicine. The root bark extracts of the plant were screened for anti-bacterial activity. The test organisms were *Escherichia coli, Bacillus subtilis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Staphylococcus aureus* and *Streptococcus facecalis.* The zone of inhibition were determined and compared with the standard drugs cefixatime.

Keywords: Nyctanthes arbor-tristis, Anti-bacterial activity, Extracts.

Introduction

Medicinal plants are an important therapeutic aid for various ailments. Scientific experiments on the antimicrobial properties

of plant components were first documented in the late 19^{an} century. In India, from ancient times, different parts of medicinal plants have been used to cure specific ailments. Today, there is widespread interest in drugs derived from plants. This interest primarily stems from the belief that green medicine is safe and dependable, compared with costly synthetic drugs that have adverse effects. Natural antimicrobials can be derived from plants, animal tissues, or microorganisms.² The shortcomings of the drugs available today, propel the discovery of new pharmacotherapeutic agents in medicinal plants.³ To determine the potential and promote the use of herbal medicine, it is essential to intensify the study of medicinal plants that find place in folklore.⁴⁻⁵

Nyctanthes arbortristis Linn. commonly known as harsinghar, parijat or night jasmine is one of the well known medicinal plants used in traditional system of medicine. Different parts of *N. arbortristis* are known to possess various ailments by rural and tribal people of India. Juice of the leaves is used as digestives, antidote to reptile venoms, mild bitter tonic, laxative,

diaphoretic and diuretic .Leaves are also used in the enlargement of spleen. Traditionally the powdered bark is given in rheumatic joint pain, in treatment of malaria and also used as

an expectorant . The plant have been screened for antihistaminic activity, CNS activities (viz. hypnotic, tranquillizing, local anesthetics), analgesic, anti-inflamatory, antipyretic, antiulcer, amoebicidal, anthelmintic, antitrypanosomal to antidepressant,

antiviral and immunomodulatory .Leaves extracts was found to

have antimicrobial activity but no proper work was carried on the antimicrobial activity on the root bark part therefore, the present work was conceived.

*Corresponding Author

E.mail: herbal0914@rediffmail.com Mob.: +919893478497

Material and Methods

Plant materials

Root Barks of *Nyctanthes arbortristis* were collected from Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh in the month of Jan, 2011 and were identified by the Botany Department, Janata PG College, A.P.S. University, Rewa (M.P.), Voucher specimen No. JC/NA/3430 was deposited in our department. The bark were later air-dried, powdered and stored in an air-tight container for further use.

Preparation of extracts 11

The plant material was coarsely powdered and extracted sequentially with petroleum ether (60-80°C), chloroform and ethanol (95%) using Soxhlet apparatus. The aqueous extract was prepared by maceration. The extracts were filtered and allowed to evaporate to dryness.

Preparation of microorganisms for experiment

All the microorganisms were isolated from in & outpatients samples from Chotiram hospital and research centre Indore. For use in experiments, the organisms were sub-cultured in agar media.

Preparation and application of disks for experiment ¹²⁻¹⁴

Different concentration of the extracts ($10-60 \mu g/ml$) was prepared by reconstituting with DMSO. The test microorganisms were streak to Muller Hinton agar medium by streaking plate method. After streaking the autoclaved filter paper discs (5 mm in diameter) impregnated with the extracts were placed on plates using flame-sterilized forceps. The antibacterial assay plates were incubated at 37°C for 24hr. For positive control cefitaxime ($60\mu g/ml$) and for negative control solvent DMSO was used.

Observation of results

Results were recorded as presence or absence of zone of inhibition. The inhibitory zone around test paper disks indicated absence of bacterial growth and it was reported as positive (growth inhibition observed) and absence of zone as negative. The test was repeated thrice in interday interval to insure reliability of the results. The diameters of the inhibition zones were measured in mm (after subtraction the diameter of disc i.e 5mm), shown in table 1.

Results and discussion

In this study the results of the investigations show that all the extracts from the bark possess anti-bacterial activities against mentioned test organisms. Table 1 shows the results of antibacterial activity against the tested microorganisms. All extracts showed varying degrees of inhibition against all the bacterial stains. Chloroform extract showed higher zone of inhibition as compared with the aqueous, petroleum ether and ethanolic extracts and it is found to be more active. Hence, it was concluded from present investigation that extracts of the root bark of N. *arbortristis* Linn. exhibited significant antibacterial activity.

Research Article

Verma et al.

esea

The authors are thankful to Principal, Mittal Institute of Pharmacy for providing the necessary facilities in the Colleges, Sincerely thanks to Dr. S. N. Dwivedi, Head & Principal Investigator, Department of Botany, Janata College, APS University (M.P.) for their valuable support.

References

10

- 1) Stuffness, M. and Douros, J. (1982). Current status of the NCI plant and animal product program. *J Nat Prod*, 45, 1-14.
- 2) Zaika, L. L. (1975). Spices and herbs: their antimicrobial activity and its determination. *J Food Safety*, **9**, 97-118.
- Gordon, M. C. and David, J. N. (2001). Natural product drug discovery in the next millennium. *Pharm Biol*, 139, 8-17.
- Awadh Ali, N. A.; Juelich, W. D.; Kusnick, C. and Lindequist, U. (2001). Screening of yemeni medicinal plants for antibacterial and cytotoxic activities. *J Ethnopharmacol*, 74, 173-9.
- Nair, R.; Kalariya, T. and Chanda, S. (2005). Antibacterial activity of some selected Indian medicinal flora. *Turk J Biol*, 29, 1-7
- 6) Nadkarni AK. Indian Materia Medica, Vol.I, 3rd ed. (Popular Prakashan Pvt. Ltd.,) 1982, 857-858.

- Kirtikar KR, Basu BD. Indian Medicinal Plants, Vol. VII, (Sri Satguru Publications, New Delhi,) 2000, 2110-2113.
- Wealth of India, A Dictionary of Indian Raw Materials and Industrial Products, Vol.VII, (National Institute of Science Communication, CSIR, New Delhi), 1997, 69-70.
- Sasmal D, Das Sanjita, Basu S P. Phytoconstituents and therapeutic potential of *Nyctanthes arbortristis* Linn., *Pharmacognosy Reviews*, 2007; 1 (2): 344-349
- 10) Khandelwal KR, Kadam SS, Singhama. Antibacterial acivity of the leaves of *Nyctanthes arbortristis* Linn.
- Indian J. Nat. Prod., 1999; 15: 18-20. 11) Mukherjii P.K. (2001). Quality Control of Herbal
- *Drugs*, Business Horizon Publication, 1st Edition 1, 183-219.
- 12) Binutu, O. A. and Lajubutu, B. (1994). Antimicrobial potentials of some plant species of the Bignoniaceae family. *Afr J Med Med Sci*., 23, 269.
- 13) Alade, P. I. and Irobi, O. N. (1993). Antimicrobial activities of crude leaf extracts of *Acalypha wilkensiana*. *J Ethnopharmacol*, 39, 171-174.
- 14) Rabe, T. and Van, J. (1997). Antibacterial activity of South African plants used for medicinal purposes. J Ethnopharmacol, 56, 81-87.

Table 1: Zone of inhibition for extracts, Standard &	Control

Con in µg/ml		Zone of Inhibition (mm)*					
		EC	BS	PA	SA	SF	
AE	10	-	-	-	-	-	
	20	-	-	4.00 <u>+</u> 0.21	-	-	
	40	2.23 <u>+</u> 0.23	-	4.20 <u>+</u> 0.80	3.21 <u>+</u> 0.16	4.71 <u>+</u> 0.70	
	60	3.30 <u>+</u> 0.45	2.06 <u>+</u> 5.20	5.01 <u>+</u> 0.16	4.31 <u>+</u> 0.21	6.09 <u>+</u> 0.39	
EE	10	4.89 <u>+</u> 0.89	-	7.08 <u>+</u> 0.22	-	-	
	20	4.31 <u>+</u> 0.21	-	8.10 <u>+</u> 0.32	2.06 <u>+</u> 0.20	4.16±0.72	
	40	5.21 <u>+</u> 0.43	3.20 <u>+</u> 0.28	10.17 <u>+</u> 0.62	3.00 <u>+</u> 0.21	6.34 <u>+</u> 0.90	
	60	6.00 <u>+</u> 0.22	4.00 <u>+</u> 0.51	11.00 <u>+</u> 0.52	3.51 <u>+</u> 0.21	652 <u>+</u> 0.56	
PE	10	3.21 <u>+</u> 0.16	4.41 <u>+</u> 0.30	4.09 <u>+</u> 0.12	4.06 <u>+</u> 0.62	5.34 <u>+</u> 0.90	
	20	5.62 <u>+</u> 0.30	6.22 <u>+</u> 0.49	6.06 <u>+</u> 0.22	5.23 <u>+</u> 0.23	6.40 <u>+</u> 0.78	
	40	5.33 <u>+</u> 0.56	6.40 <u>+</u> 0.78	8.14 <u>+</u> 0.38	5.41 <u>+</u> 0.30	6.62 <u>+</u> 0.30	
	60	7.78 <u>+</u> 0.99	8.34 <u>+</u> 0.90	8.00 <u>+</u> 0.08	878 <u>+</u> 0.99	7.33 <u>+</u> 0.56	
СН	10	8.06 <u>+</u> 0.28	6.09 <u>+</u> 0.39	10.06 <u>+</u> 0.62	7.16±0.72	8.89 <u>+</u> 0.89	
	20	11.19 <u>+</u> 0.42	9.51 <u>+</u> 0.21	12.06 <u>+</u> 0.28	8.62 <u>+</u> 0.30	8.50±0.76	
	40	15.03 <u>+</u> 0.34	12.0 <u>+</u> 0.34	14.71 <u>+</u> 0.70	14.20 <u>+</u> 0.28	9.10 <u>+</u> 0.32	
	60	18.52 <u>+</u> 0.56	16.16 <u>+</u> 05	20.01 <u>+</u> 0.29	14.51 <u>+</u> 0.21	13.08 <u>+</u> 0.22	
SD	60	22.50±0.76	27.51±0.28	24.16±0.72	25.16±0.26	23.83±0.16	
Con	-	-	-	-	-	-	

* mm= Mean of three replicates ±SEM

AE= Aqueous extract EE: Ethanolic extract; PE: Petroleu mether extract; CH: Chloroform extract; Con: Control (DMSO); SD: Standard (CE = cefitaxime)

EC= Escherichia coli, BS= Bacillus subtilis, PA= Pseudomonas aeruginosa, SA= Staphylococcus aureus, SF= Streptococcus facecalis